



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,671	12/01/2003	In-Gon Park	678-1296 (P11065)	2393
28249	7590	08/28/2006	EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553			CHAN, RICHARD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/724,671	PARK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard Chan	2618	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 June 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1 – 3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kokubo (US 2003/0119562).

With respect to claim 1, Kokubo disclose a portable communication apparatus **100**, comprising: a) first housing **160** including an open space surrounding display **142** and a pair of hinge supports **140**, the open space having at least one opening, the hinge supports extending longitudinally and surrounding opposite sides of the open space; b) a hinge axis extending across the open space, paragraph **[0039]**; c) a second housing **120** including a first display **122 [0038]**, rotatably connected to the first housing **160** to open from and close to the first housing; and d) a third housing surrounding including a second display **142**, extending in a longitudinal direction of the second housing **120** to be accommodated in the open space to enable the third housing to rotate about the hinge axis **140**; wherein the second display **142** is always exposed regardless of an

Art Unit: 2618

open and closed states of the second housing to the first housing **160**.

With respect to claim 2, Kokubo discloses the portable communication apparatus **100** according to claim 1, wherein the first housing **160** has an upper surface including a plurality of keys and a microphone **108**, and the second housing **120** has a lower surface including a speaker device **104**.

With respect to claim 3, Kokubo discloses the portable communication apparatus **100** according to claim 1, wherein the third housing surrounding second display **142** is integrally connected to the second housing **120**.

With respect to claim 5, Kokubo discloses the portable communication apparatus **100**, comprising: a first housing **160** including an open space between the pair of hinge supports in hinge module **140**, the open space having at least one opening, the hinge supports extending longitudinally and surrounding opposite sides of the open space; a hinge axis extending across the open space; a second housing **120** including a first display and a second display located integrally connected to a second housing **120**; wherein said first display **122** and said second display **142** are looked upward the same direction, and said second display is always exposed regardless of an open and closed states of the second housing to the first housing **[0039]**.

Art Unit: 2618

With respect to claim 6, Kokubo discloses the portable communication apparatus 100 according to claim 5, wherein the first housing 160 has an upper surface including a plurality of keys 162 and a microphone 108, and wherein the second housing 120 has the lower surface including a speaker device 104.

With respect to claim 7, Kokubo discloses the portable communication apparatus according to claim 5, wherein the second display 142 is integrally connected to the second housing 120.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kokubo (US 2003/0119562 A1) in view of Kido (US 2001/0016508).

With respect to claim 4, Kokubo discloses the portable communication apparatus according to claim 1, however Kokubo does not specifically disclose wherein a lower surface of the third housing protrudes from the lower surface of the second housing with a stepped shape.

Art Unit: 2618

The Kido reference however discloses a secondary display **102** located on a third housing unit **109** wherein the third housing is protruding from the lower surface of the second housing **105**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a protruding surface to house the secondary display as disclosed by Kido in order to properly view the display while the apparatus is in the “closed” position.

With respect to claim 8, Kokubo discloses the portable communication apparatus according to claim 5, however Kokubo does not disclose wherein a bottom portion of the second housing protrudes from a top portion of the second housing with a stepped shape.

The Kido reference however discloses a secondary display **102** located on a third housing unit **109** wherein the third housing is protruding from the lower surface of the second housing **105**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a protruding surface to house the secondary display as disclosed by Kido in order to properly view the display while the apparatus is in the “closed” position.

The Kokubo and Kido references are analogous art because both references disclose electronic apparatuses, which are of the foldable type.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Art Unit: 2618

5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/13/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's arguments regarding claim 1, the applicant argues that the Kokubo reference does not teach the open space having one opening, thereby enabling the second display of the third housing to rotate about the hinge axis. However, the Kokubo reference discloses wherein the hinge unit 140 rotably connects the display unit and the operation unit in such that the displayed content may be viewed from outside even when the portable telephone is not in use. Paragraph [0039]

With respect to applicant's arguments regarding claim 3, applicant argues that the display unit 120 is not integrally connected to the sub-display unit 142 and that are separate pieces, however claim 3 does not disclose a wherein the displays are integrally connected, but the Kokubo reference does disclose wherein a third housing, which surrounds the sub-display unit and is connected to the second housing unit 120 through the hinges.

### ***Conclusion***

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2618


mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Chan whose telephone number is (571) 272-0570. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on (571)272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard Chan

 8/21/06  
QUOCHIEN B. VUONG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER